101112

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

THOMAS RAY WOODSON,

.

J. RODRIGUEZ, et al.,

in this action.

v.

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

27

26

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C 07-04925 CW (PR)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Plaintiff requests the appointment of counsel to represent him

There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the

ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims <u>pro se</u> in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>See id.</u> at 1525; <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u>, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Wilborn v. Escalderon</u>, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a <u>pro bono</u> appointment. The proceedings are at an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits. Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel at this time is DENIED. This does not mean, however, that the Court will not consider appointment of counsel at a later juncture in the proceedings, that is, after Defendants have filed their dispositive motion and the Court has a better understanding of the procedural and substantive matters at issue. Therefore, Plaintiff may file a renewed motion for the appointment of counsel after Defendants' dispositive motion has been filed. If the Court decides that appointment of counsel is warranted at that time, then it can seek volunteer counsel to agree to represent Plaintiff pro bono.

This Order terminates Docket no. 23.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/22/08

CLAUDIA WILKEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Claudichillen

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 THOMAS RAY WOODSON,

Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV07-04925 CW

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

v.

J. RODRIGUEZ et al,

Defendant.

9

10

11

12

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on July 22, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 Dated: July 22, 2008 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Jose Alfonso Zelidon-Zepeda Department of Justice

455 Golden Gate Avenue Suite 11000

San Francisco, CA 94102

Thomas Ray Woodson P-76095 "C" Facility Calipatria State Prison Calipatria, CA 92253

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: Sheilah Cahill, Deputy Clerk